### § 75.211

(iii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project.

(iv) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

(v) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits.

(vi) The potential for continued support of the project after Federal funding ends, including, as appropriate, the demonstrated commitment of appropriate entities to such support.

(vii) The potential for the incorporation of project purposes, activities, or benefits into the ongoing program of the agency or organization at the end of Federal funding.

(g) *Quality of the management plan.* (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

(iii) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project.

(iv) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project.

(v) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of parents, teachers, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate.

(h) Quality of the project evaluation. (1) The Secretary considers the quality of

the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

- (2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:
- (i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project.
- (ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are appropriate to the context within which the project operates.
- (iii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies.
- (iv) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.
- (v) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide timely guidance for quality assurance.
- (vi) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide performance feedback and permit periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes.
- (vii) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1875–0102)

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474)

[62 FR 10401, Mar. 6, 1997]

## § 75.211 Selection criteria for unsolicited applications.

- (a) If the Secretary considers an unsolicited application under 34 CFR 75.222(a)(2)(ii), the Secretary uses the selection criteria and factors, if any, used for the competition under which the application could have been funded.
- (b) If the Secretary considers an unsolicited application under 34 CFR 75.222(a)(2)(iii), the Secretary selects from among the criteria in §75.210(b),

### Office of the Secretary, Education

and may select from among the specific factors listed under each criterion, the criteria that are most appropriate to evaluate the activities proposed in the application.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474) [62 FR 10403, Mar. 6, 1997]

#### SELECTION PROCEDURES

#### § 75.215 How the Department selects a new project: purpose of §§ 75.216– 75.222.

Sections 75.216-75.222 describe the process the Secretary uses to select applications for new grants. All of these sections apply to a discretionary grant program. However, only §75.216 applies also to a formula grant program.

CROSS REFERENCE: See  $\S75.200(b)$  Discretionary grant program, and (c) Formula grant program.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474)

## § 75.216 Applications not evaluated for funding.

The Secretary does not evaluate an application if—

(a) The applicant is not eligible;

- (b) The applicant does not comply with all of the procedural rules that govern the submission of the application;
- (c) The application does not contain the information required under the program; or
- (d) The proposed project cannot be funded under the authorizing statute or implementing regulations for the program.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474) [57 FR 30338, July 8, 1992]

# §75.217 How the Secretary selects applications for new grants.

- (a) The Secretary selects applications for new grants on the basis of the authorizing statute, the selection criteria, and any priorities or other requirements that have been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and apply to the selection of those applications.
- (b)(1) The Secretary may use experts to evaluate the applications submitted under a program.
- (2) These experts may include persons who are not employees of the Federal Government.

- (c) The Secretary prepares a rank order of the applications based solely on the evaluation of their quality according to the selection criteria.
- (d) The Secretary then determines the order in which applications will be selected for grants. The Secretary considers the following in making these determinations:
- (1) The information in each application.
- (2) The rank ordering of the applications.
- (3) Any other information—
- (i) Relevant to a criterion, priority, or other requirement that applies to the selection of applications for new grants;
- (ii) Concerning the applicant's performance and use of funds under a previous award under any Department program; and
- (iii) Concerning the applicant's failure under any Department program to submit a performance report or its submission of a performance report of unacceptable quality.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474)

[52 FR 27804, July 24, 1987, as amended at 62 FR 4167, Jan. 29, 1997]

### § 75.218 Applications not evaluated or selected for funding.

- (a) The Secretary informs an applicant if its application—
- (1) Is not evaluated; or
- (2) Is not selected for funding.
- (b) If an applicant requests an explanation of the reason its application was not evaluated or selected, the Secretary provides that explanation.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474)

[57 FR 30338, July 8, 1992]

### § 75.219 Exceptions to the procedures under § 75.217.

The Secretary may select an application for funding without following the procedures in §75.217 if:

- (a) The objectives of the project cannot be achieved unless the Secretary makes the grant before the date grants can be made under the procedures in §75.217;
- (b)(1) The application was evaluated under the preceding competition of the program;